

Funding Budget Worksheet

October 31, 2001

For the Clinton River Trail, the Macomb Orchard Trail, and the Stony Creek Metropark / Clinton River Park Link
 Prepared by the Southeast Michigan Greenways Specialist Team

Type of Funding Source	Fund Name	Administration Agency	Average Yearly Funding from Source	% Generally Allocated Towards Trails	Estimate of Yearly Funding for All Trails	% of Fund Allocated to Projects based on Population	Baseline Budget for Yearly Funding of Projects	Adjustment Factor	Adj. Budget for Yearly Funding of Projects
Federal	TEA-21, TEP	MDOT	\$ 22,500,000	33%	\$ 7,425,000	16%	\$ 1,184,782	25%	\$ 1,480,978
State	MNRTF	MDNR	\$ 24,000,000	33%	\$ 7,920,000	16%	\$ 1,263,768	25%	\$ 1,579,710
Private	GW	CFSEM	\$ 1,700,000	90%	\$ 1,530,000	33%	\$ 501,985	-25%	\$ 376,489
							\$ 2,950,535		\$ 3,437,176

Supporting Calculations of the Percent of Funds Allocated to Projects based on Population:

Geographic Area	2,000 Census Total Population		
State of Michigan	9,938,444		
Southeast Michigan	4,833,493	49% State Total	
Macomb and Oakland County	1,982,305	20% State Total	41% Region Total
80% of Macomb & Oakland Co.	1,585,844	16% State Total	33% Region Total

Acronyms:

TEA-21	Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century
TEP	Transportation Enhancement Projects
NRTF	Natural Resources Trust Fund
GW	GreenWay Initiative
MDOT	Michigan Department of Transportation
MDNR	Michigan Department of Natural Resources
CFSEM	Community Foundation for SE Michigan

The three trails will likely account for the vast majority of trail work in Macomb County for the foreseeable future, but there are other active projects in Oakland County. 80% was seen as an appropriate percentage to allocate towards the three trail projects from the total allocated to the two counties (almost all of Macomb's allotment and about 65% of Oakland's allotment).

Adjustment Factor:

As the three trails have been identified as the top regional priority, they should be eligible for a greater portion of the funds beyond the baseline budget for yearly funding based on population; 25% was deemed an appropriate amount. The degree to which Oakland and Macomb Counties and the rest of the region acknowledge this priority and reflect that acknowledgement in other applications from the region the more likely special priority will be given by the funders. The GWI fund was reduced by 25% given the relative ability of the area to support the finance of the trail.

Please Note:

This worksheet is a strategic planning tool for funding a trail or greenway; it by no means indicates likely amounts that will be allocated towards these projects by the funding sources indicated. TEA-21, NRTF, and GWI are competitive programs and each application will be judged on its own merits and in comparison to other applications submitted for the same period. The percentage generally allocated towards trail projects is based on past practices and programs and there is no certainty that these patterns will continue as each fund evaluates its funding criteria yearly. None of the funds uses an allocation based on population, therefore the resulting numbers do not reflect funding source policies.